

Keystone Accountability Trustees' Report and Accounts For the Year Ended 31 March 2014

Charity number: 1118999 Company number: 6000240

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CON | TENTS | Page |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| TRUS | STEES' REPORT | |
| <u>I.</u> | Reference and administrative details | 1 |
| II. | Structure, governance and management | <mark>2 - 3</mark> |
| III. | Objectives and activities | 3 – 8 |
| IV. | Achievements and performance | <mark>8 - 11</mark> |
| <mark>V.</mark> | Financial Review | <mark>11 - 12</mark> |
| VI. | Plans for the coming year | <mark>12</mark> |
| INDE | PENDENT EXAMINER'S REPORT | <mark>13 - 14</mark> |
| STA1 | TEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES | <mark>15</mark> |
| BAL | ANCE SHEET | <mark>16</mark> |
| NOTI | ES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | <mark>17 - 21</mark> |

TRUSTEES' REPORT

I. REFERENCE AND ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS

Name of Charity Keystone Accountability

(Known Commonly as Keystone)

Charity Registration Number 1118999 **Company Registration Number** 6000240

Address of registered office Suite 217

258 Belsize Road

London NW6 4BT

Charity's Trustees Peter Hero

Jack Lange Eric Kolodner

Chief Executive David Bonbright

Bankers HSBC

74 Goswell Road

London EC1V 7DA

Solicitors Bates, Wells & Braithwaite

2 - 6 Cannon Street

London EC4M 6HY

Independent Examiners Haysmacintyre

26 Red Lion Square

London WC1R 4AG

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

II. STRUCTURE, GOVERNMENT AND MANAGEMENT

Nature of the governing document

Memorandum and Articles of Association of Keystone Accountability, dated 15 November 2006.

Constitution: Incorporated company limited by guarantee and not having a share capital. Company registered in England and Wales.

Recruitment and appointment of Trustees

The Articles of Association of Keystone Accountability provide that there shall be at least 3 Trustees. Trustees are recruited by the Chief Executive and the existing Trustees through their personal and professional networks. New Trustees are appointed by resolution of the Trustees. The Trustees' board is renewed annually by a third.

Induction and training of Trustees

The Chief Executive provides an induction on Keystone's objectives and work to new Trustees. He provides them with a detailed presentation of the Accountability for Social Impact method and tools as well as of the underlying principles and philosophy. He also briefs them on their legal obligations under charity and company law. A Trustees' induction pack has been compiled and is provided to all newly appointed Trustees.

As the method, tools and types of services offered by Keystone evolve, the Trustees are provided with detailed presentations of new developments during board meetings and on an ongoing basis through e-mail and telephone conversations.

Statement of Trustees' Responsibilities

The Trustees (who are also directors of Keystone Accountability for the purposes of company law) are responsible for preparing the Trustees' Report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and United Kingdom Accounting Standards (United Kingdom Generally Accepted Accounting Practice).

Company law requires the Trustees to prepare financial statements for each financial year which give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the charitable company and of the incoming resources and application of resources, including the income and expenditure, of the charitable company for that period. In preparing these financial statements, the Trustees are required to:

- Select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
- Observe the methods and principles in the Charities SORP issued by the UK Charity Commission;
- Make judgements and estimates that are reasonable and prudent;
- State whether applicable UK Accounting standards have been followed, subject to any material departures disclosed and explained in the financial statements;
- Prepare the financial statements on the going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the charitable company will continue in business.

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

II. STRUCTURE, GOVERNMENT AND MANAGEMENT (continued)

Statement of Trustees' Responsibilities (continued)

The Trustees are responsible for keeping proper accounting records that disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the charitable company and enable them to ensure that the financial statements comply with the Companies Act 2006. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the charitable company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

Related parties:

- Keystone Accountability US: A non-profit 501(c)(3) organisation has been incorporated in 2007 and has worked to fulfill its mission by, among other things, supporting Keystone Accountability (UK) projects.
- Keystone Accountability South Africa: Registered as a Company incorporated under Section 21 of the South African Companies Act (Company not having share capital), with similar purposes to Keystone (UK).

Risk review statement

The Trustees have examined the major risks faced by Keystone and have identified the absence of a sufficient funding to carry the organization should there be a slowdown in earned income to be the most pressing one. A strategic plan is in place and entrusted to the Chief Executive to build up a reserve fund of £120,000, which would meet Keystone's core operating costs for approximately three months.

The staff handbook addresses risks related to staff safety and well-being.

III. OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

Objectives of the Charity (as set out in the Memorandum and Articles of Association):

- To advance the efficiency and effectiveness of charities and to improve the effective use of resources for charitable purposes
- To promote the voluntary sector (being charities and organisations established anywhere in the world for exclusively charitable purposes as determined in accordance with the law of England and Wales) and voluntary organisations (being non political organisations, independent of local or national governments or other statutory authorities, established for purposes that add value to whole or a significant section of the community and which are not permitted by their constitutions to make a profit for private distribution) for the benefit of the public
- To advance education
- To relieve poverty
- To advance any other exclusively charitable purposes recognised as such by the laws of England and Wales for the benefit of the public.

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

III OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES (continued)

Charity's aims and strategies:

Keystone's strategic aim is to improve the effectiveness of social purpose organizations. We work with these organisations to develop better ways of planning, measuring, realizing and reporting their impacts.

Specifically we help them improve by:

- · considering other actors' behaviours and incentives when planning their work;
- listening to different constituents' views of their plans, actions and reports; and
- using this data to strengthen relationships, improve work during implementation, and feed into impact evaluation.

We have created an original methodology that we call <u>Constituent Voice</u> – a way to listen and respond to the people most involved in social change. We publish resources including our tools and reports. We make all our intellectual property available for free using a Creative Commons license. We also conduct research on issues related to planning, measuring and reporting social change for the purpose of improving our own work and influencing the sector of social development.

Statement on Public Benefit

The 'objectives and activities' and 'achievement and performance' sections of this report set out activities that Keystone Accountability undertakes for public benefit.

The Trustees confirm that they have complied with the duty in section 4 of the Charities Act 2006 to have due regard to public benefit guidance published by the Commission in determining the activities undertaken by the charity.

In the interest of transparency, the Trustees make the following observations on the two key principles of public benefit.

Principle 1: There must be an identifiable benefit or benefits

1a It must be clear what the benefits are.

The benefits are clearly set out in the accounts of Achievement and Performance given in the body of this report.

1b The benefits must be related to the aims.

The Trustees review the activities of the charity against its aims on an ongoing basis and are satisfied that all activities continue to be related to the aims.

1c Benefits must balanced against any detriment or harm.

No specific issues of detriment or harm have been identified

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

III OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES (continued)

Principle 2: Benefit must be to the public or a section of the public

2a The beneficiaries must be appropriate to the aims.

The organisations that Keystone Accountability works for all work for the public benefit and are mostly registered charities. For instance, they include grantees, grantmakers and international humanitarian agencies like Oxfam and Save the Children.

Where the benefit is to a section of the public, the opportunity to benefit must not be unreasonably restricted by geographical or other restrictions; or by the ability to pay any fees charged

There are no restrictions on benefits

2c People in poverty must not be excluded from the opportunity to benefit.

Privileging the voices of people in poverty is central to what Keystone Accountability does in assisting charitable organisations to achieve their aims more effectively. In fact, Keystone's Constituent Voice method provides a way for any charity to establish the evidence base for meeting this duty.

2d Any private benefits must be incidental.

A number of private benefits do necessarily arise from the activities of the charity. In particular, the charity finds it essential to employ and remunerate staff. These private benefits are a necessary step in achieving the charity's aims.

Activities

Constituent Voice-based evaluative systems

We provided consulting to organizations wishing to deepen their ability to plan for, assess, learn from, and report their impacts. During the year we have completed several projects advising on organisational planning, monitoring and evaluation processes. Over the year Keystone has been a consultant to XX organizations, including Ashoka, LIFT Communities, Oxfam International, Center for Employment Opportunities, Legal Services Corporation, the World Bank and the Global Environment Fund.

In line with Keystone's strategy to increase the incentives and rewards for practicing Constituent Voice, Keystone co-founded Feedback Labs and continues its partnership charity rating agencies such as Charity Navigator, which has incorporated Constituent Voice into its charity rating model.

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

III OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES (continued)

Ground Truth

Ground Truth is a program of Keystone Accountability. It is led by Nicholas van Praag, whose career has spanned humanitarian and development work at the UN and The World Bank. Ground Truth aims to complete the cycle of accountability in humanitarian programs by bridging the gap between listening and taking action. Over the year, grants for developing and piloting the Ground Truth approach were received by the IKEA Foundation, The Conrad Hilton Foundation and the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation, allowing pilots in both Haiti and Pakistan. Since the year end, additional funds have been received by the UK Department for International Development for a project in Sierra Leone around Ebola.

Feedback Commons

Keystone received funding from both the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation and the World Bank to develop a prototype of its Feedback Commons; an online question database platform. Since the year end, Keystone has received additional funding from the Fund for Shared Insight. The fund is a sponsored project of Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors and is co-chaired by the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation and the Ford Foundation. Other participating funders include the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, The JPB Foundation, Liquidnet for Good, the Rita Allen Foundation, and the W.K. Kellogg Foundation.

Benchmarking surveys

Keystone is a global pioneer in the development and delivery of orgnizaation-toorganization feedback. To date, it has four surveys that provide benchmarks of feedback data for participating organizations.

Keystone-iScale Performance Survey: Networks

Together with a leading network theorist, iScale, Keystone ran the first international survey of the members of international social change networks in 2009. We ran another cohort of organisations to take this comparative benchmarked survey, which finished in early 2012, and have since run it for two additional organisations, the most recent being ACODEV, which was commissioned at the end of March 2013, with the final report being delivered in September 2013.

Keystone Performance Survey: Development Partnership

We first ran this benchmark survey for a cohort of 28 international humanitarian organisations in 2011. It explored these organizations' partnerships with over 1,000 local NGOs. Since then we have conducted the survey on an "as they come" basis as well as for cohorts of members of national NGO umbrella bodies such as Partos (Netherlands) and ACODEV (Belgium). As evidence that the survey is useful in recognizing NGO's strengths and limitations, and providing them with impartial and confidential data they can use to improve the work, a number of organizations are now repeating the survey. Several of the participating agencies have published their confidential reports, which allows us to republish them on our website. A growing number of NGOs have repeated the survey.

Keystone Performance Survey: Grantmakers

This was first done with 8 East African grantmakers in 2008, and again with 12 Southern Africa grantmakers in 2010. Since then, we have shared the survey tools to allow for an Arab region round involving 3 organisations. In 2012/13 we conduced the survey with a North American grantmaker, and continue to discuss running another East Africa cohort with East Africa Association of Grantmakers (EAAG).

Keystone Performance Survey: Impact Investing

This survey has only been done once as a cohort, in 2010, however in early 2013 we published a <u>public cohort report</u>. This summarised the data and made a compelling case for investee feedback. As a result, we recently completed the process for another UK investor.

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

III OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES (continued)

Activities

Research, publications and speaking events:

Selected reports and articles published by Keystone:

- Bonbright, D., (2013) 'Raising Constituent Voice': Charity Finance.
- Keystone Accountability, (2013) Constituent Voice Technical note 1
- Keystone Accountability, (2013) Keystone Accountability Development Partnership survey - Headlines for International Development
- Bonbright, D., (2013) 'The Health and Human Services Sector Constituent Voice' in Making Strategy Count in the Heath and Human Services sector' book: Alliance for Children and Families

Selection of speaking events:

- CECP corporate philanthropy conference, 2013
- Commonwealth Parliamentary Association, 2013
- Integrity Action Close the Loop conference, 2013
- Occidental College, 2013
- Oxford Good Governance Conference, 2013
- BOND, 2014

IV. ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE

Client work carried out

We have split our work into two broad categories. Constituent Voice-based evaluative systems projects can range from the design stage to full implementation, and includes Ground Truth pilots in Haiti and Pakistan. Benchmark surveys include our Network, Development Partnership and Grantmaker surveys

| Project type | Number of projects thru March 2014 Target | Number of projects thru March 2014 Actual |
|--|---|---|
| Evaluative and Constituent voice systems | 15 | 9 |
| Benchmarks surveys | 25 | 22 |

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

IV. ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE

What do those we work with say about us?

Keystone administers two surveys to clients following its work with them. The first survey is administered shortly after the delivery of the main output in the work. Despite the large range of clients during the year, Keystone only had 11 responses to the first survey, which we dub the client satisfaction survey. The second survey explores what difference our work with the client made for them. We call this second survey the impact survey.

The client satisfaction survey

| Indicator | 2010/11 results | 2011/12 results | 2012/13 results | 2013/14 targets | 2013/14 results |
|---|---|---|---|--------------------|---|
| | | 0 – 10 sca | le unless stated | otherwise | |
| How would you grade the standard of Keystone's work? | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 9 | 8.3 |
| How likely is it that you will take actions as a result of Keystone's work? | 9.5 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 10 | 9.6 |
| How well do you feel Keystone understood your organisation? | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 9 | 7.8 |
| Compared to other monitoring and evaluation services, how useful was Keystone's work? | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 9 | 8.4 |
| If the work Keystone conducted for you involved a survey, have you or do you plan to report the survey findings back to survey respondents? | 70% said yes, 30% do not yet know | 80% said yes, 20% do not yet know | 80% said yes, 20% do not yet know | 100% say yes | 80% said yes, 20% do not yet know |

Survey comments

On Keystone's work:

"We integrate the results in our long-term strategy and partnership."

"We have discussed findings from the survey within the organization's board and at programme management level, and recommendations are being acted on."

"Big improvement to regular satisfaction surveys among partners."

"We receive the attention we need to ask questions, make changes to our pilots. We receive analysis that is well written that we can use to make meaning from the work we are doing with our clients."

"The conclusions of the survey were very useful to us."

"Feedback survey gave good input for future policies and practices."

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

IV ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE (continued)

Areas highlighted to improve:

"Offer a survey app or partner with firm that does."

"Partners had a lot of technical problems in filling, saving and sending the survey."

"Good job, but took a bit too long."

"More attention for capacity development and other non-financial support."

"I was not happy with the technical distribution of the surveys."

The Impact Survey

Keystone had 4 responses from FY2014 clients to the impact survey.

| Indicator | 2010/11 results | 2011/12 results | 2012/13 results | 2013/14 results |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| muloutor | 0 – 1 | 10 scale unless | stated otherw | /ise |
| How useful has Keystone's work been for you since the contract ended? | 6.8 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| How much of a beneficial impact has the work done by Keystone had since the contract ended? | 6.9 | 7 | 6.5 | 7.3 |
| How much has Keystone's work increased your appreciation of the value of formal feedback from constituents in your organisation's decision making? | 6.7 | 8 | 8 | 7.3 |
| How much has Keystone's work increased your appreciation of the value of reporting back to constituents? | 6.2 | 7.3 | 8 | 7 |
| Did you share Keystone's work with your Board or Trustees? | 92% said yes, 8% said no | 75% said yes, 25% said no | 100% said yes | 100% said yes |

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

IV. ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE (continued)

Other indicators

Other organizations are starting to study, test and use Constituent Voice methodology as well as the term. In one case we know of, a large provider of consulting services to nonprofits is now offering "Constituent Voice" services. It is difficult to track this but one indicator is that in 2010 virtually all hits on web searches for Constituent Voice led directly to Keystone. In 2014, only 25 per cent of the first 20 hits lead directly to Keystone.

| Indicator | Performance score |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| Quality of tools | Constituent Feedback (and Keystone) mentioned under Buzzword section of Lucy Bernholz's Philanthropy and the Social Economy: Blueprint 2014. |
| | LIFT's CEO Kirsten Lodal discusses CV in their work on 'Morning Joe' on MSCBC.com |
| | State, Foreign Operations division K of the Omnibus Bill includes evaluation language on incorporating voices of beneficiaries - section 7034(r). |
| | Kai Hopkins interviewed for the Able Altruist on 5 steps to implement beneficiary feedback programs |
| Quality of analysis | In addition to several publications, Keystone's work has manifested itself in a variety of places: |
| | Gates Grand Challenges Explorations Round 12 used Keystone language in its RFP call. |
| | David Bonbright's blog is cited by the March Evaluation Events and Resources newsletter. |
| | David Bonbright's Measuring Impact? Who counts? Making Social Investment Decisions, What do we need to know? cited in Evaluating Social Change and Communication For Social Change: New Perspectives |
| | Keystone INGO survey referenced in Mercy Corp's Local Ownership Policy Paper |

| Influence on the field | No. of the first 20 results on search engines with the following terms related directly to Keystone: | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---------------------------|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| | "Constituent Voice" | | | | | |
| | - Google | 7 | 8 | 12 | 20 | 17 |
| | - Bing | 4 | 2 | 10 | 19 | 18 |
| | - Yahoo | 4 | 4 | 13 | 19 | 17 |
| | "Impact Planning, Assessment and Learning" | | | | | |
| | - Google | 1 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 19 |
| | - Bing | 1 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 18 |
| | - Yahoo | 3 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 17 |

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

IV. ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE (continued)

Other indicators

By examining which search results for "constituent voice" and "Impact Planning, Assessment and Learning" are directly related to Keystone's work, and which are coming from other organisations, we get an understanding as to the wider dissemination and use of these terms beyond Keystone. We can see that both IPAL and Constituent Voice are gaining traction terms in the wider sector. We expect with our continuing work for this to continue to change in the coming months and years.

A further look at our influence on the field can be seen by looking at Keystone's involvement in various publications and speaking events – see above.

Website traffic

Website traffic is calculated by calendar year, not financial year. While there was a reduction in visiting the Keystone website from 44,069 visitors in 2009 to 37,876 in 2010, the number increased in 2011 to 48,198, and again to 68,137 in 2012. In 2013 the number was 109,453.

We have included Keystone's blog site on Wordpress below for tracking too. This was launched in September 2013, and the figures below only cover September-December 2013.

| Download Item and hits | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| IPAL Guide | 349 | 245 | 345 | 357 |
| Developing a theory of change | 2076 | 1689 | 969 | 646 |
| Learning with constituents | 744 | 690 | 245 | 239 |

| TOC template | 1429 | 1277 | 794 | 370 |
|---|--------|------|------|-----|
| 2010 Development Partnership survey public report | 586 | 698 | 2217 | - |
| Constituent Voice framework | 530 | 492 | 202 | 187 |
| Really busy persons guide | 36,972 | - | - | - |
| Constituent Voice technical note 1 | 600 | - | - | - |
| Wordpress blog site hits | 2922 | - | - | - |

V. FINANCIAL REVIEW

The total income for 2013/14 was £471,166 and the total expenditure was £515,488. The balance of funds carried forward to the 2014/15 year are £14,511 in unrestricted funds and £26,284 in restricted funds.

TRUSTEES' REPORT (continued)

VI. FINANCIAL REVIEW

Policy on reserves

As mentioned, at the year-end, Keystone held a total of £14,511 in unrestricted income. The Board has a policy on reserves set out below that is included in the Charity's financial controls. Total reserves are below the desired amount.

Keystone's reserves policy is to build up reserves as rapidly as possible:

- To assure the continuation of Keystone's activities during 3 months in case of shortage of periods of low income.
- To provide assurance to members of staff and to those with whom we undertake commitments of Keystone's reliability as an employer and business partner respectively.
- As a precaution against adverse economic conditions and any consequent decline in the charity's income to permit the development of ambitious programmes.
- The level of unrestricted reserves needed for these purposes is set at £120,000 (approximately 3 months of core ordinary operating costs).
- The level of the reserves will be reviewed by the Board once a year as part of the budget review and approval process.

VII. PLANS FOR THE YEAR THROUGH 31 MARCH 2015

The following offers a tabular summary of Keystone's operational plans over the next year:

Service Delivery Aims

| Item | Target |
|---|--------|
| Constituent Voice-based Evaluative systems | 15 |
| Benchmark surveys | 30 |
| Feedback Commons subscribers | 15 |

Quality Aims

| Indicator | Score / 10 (unless stated) |
|---|----------------------------|
| How would you grade the standard of Keystone's work? | 9 |
| How likely is it that you will take actions as a result of Keystone's work | 10 |
| How well do you feel Keystone understood your organisation? | 9 |
| Compared to other monitoring and evaluation services, how useful was Keystone's work? | 9 |
| If the work Keystone conducted for you involved a survey, have you or do you plan to report the survey findings back to survey respondents? | 100 % say yes |

This report was approved by the Board of Trustees on

and signed on its behalf, by:

Date 11 Dec 2014

Trustee -

ERIC KOLDONER

12

INDEPENDENT EXAMINER'S REPORT TO THE TRUSTEES OF KEYSTONE ACCOUNTABILITY

I report on the financial statements of the company for the year ended 31 March 2014 which comprise the Statement of Financial Activities and Balance Sheet, with the related notes.

This report is made solely to the company's Trustees, as a body, in accordance with section 145 of the Charities Act 2011 and regulations made under section 154 of that Act. My work has been undertaken so that I might state to the company's Trustees those matters I am required to state to them in an Independent examiner's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, I do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the company and the company's Trustees as a body, for my work or for this report.

RESPECTIVE RESPONSIBILITIES OF TRUSTEES AND EXAMINER

The Trustees, who are also the directors of the company for the purposes of company law, are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements. The Trustees consider that an audit is not required for this year under section 144(2) of the Charities Act 2011 (the Act) and that an independent examination is needed.

Having satisfied myself that the company is not subject to audit under charity or company law and is eligible for independent examination, it is my responsibility to:

- examine the financial statements under section 145 of the Act;
- follow the procedures laid down in the general Directions given by the Charity Commission under section 145 (5) (b) of the Act; and
- state whether particular matters have come to my attention.

BASIS OF INDEPENDENT EXAMINER'S REPORT

My examination was carried out in accordance with the general Directions given by the Charity Commission. An examination includes a review of the accounting records kept by the company and a comparison of the financial statements presented with those records. It also includes consideration of any unusual items or disclosures in the financial statements, and seeking explanations from you as Trustees concerning any such matters. The procedures undertaken do not provide all the evidence that would be required in an audit, and consequently no opinion is given as to whether the financial statements present a 'true and fair view' and the report is limited to those matters set out in the statement below.

INDEPENDENT EXAMINER'S REPORT TO THE TRUSTEES OF KEYSTONE ACCOUNTABILITY (continued)

INDEPENDENT EXAMINER'S STATEMENT

In connection with my examination, no matter has come to my attention:

- (1) which gives me reasonable cause to believe that in any material respect the requirements:
 - to keep accounting records in accordance with section 386 of the Companies Act 2006;
 and
 - to prepare financial statements which accord with the accounting records and comply with the accounting requirements of section 396 of the Companies Act 2006 and with the methods and principles of the Statement of Recommended Practice: Accounting and Reporting by Charities

have not been met; or

(2) to which, in my opinion, attention should be drawn in order to enable a proper understanding of the financial statements to be reached.

Murtaza Jessa FCA haysmacintyre Chartered Accountants 26 Red Lion Square London WC1R 4AG

Date: 11/12/2014

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES (Incorporating an Income and Expenditure Account)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2014

| Incoming Resources | Note | Unrestricted Funds 2014 £ | Restricted Funds 2014 £ | Total Funds 2014 £ | Total Funds 2013 £ |
|--|------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Income resources from | | | | | |
| generated funds: Voluntary income – grants | 2 | 139,654 | - | 139,654 | 45,583 |
| Incoming resources from charitable activities Maximising the impact of social Purpose organisations | | | | | |
| grantsconsultancy service fees | 2 | - 51,201 | 173,253 | 173,253 51,201 | 110,282 85,965 |
| - survey fees | | 103,773 | - | 103,773 | 94,619 |
| - interest income | | 9 | - | 9 | 19 |
| Other incoming resources | | 3,276 | - | 3,276 | - |
| Total incoming resources | | 297,913 | 173,253 | 471,166 | 336,468 |
| Resources expended | | | | | |
| Cost of generating funds | | 12,205 | - | 12,205 | 5,792 |
| Charitable activities | | | | | |
| Maximising the impact of Social purpose organisations | | 304,791 | 189,883 | 494,674 | 278,795 |
| Governance costs | | 8,609 | - | 8,609 | 6,605 |
| Total resources expended | 3 | 325,605 | 189,883 | 515,488 | 291,192 |
| Net (outgoing)/incoming | | | | | |
| resources | | (27,692) | (16,630) | (44,322) | 45,276 |
| Fund balances at 1 April 2013 | | 42,203 | 42,914 | 85,117 | 39,842 |
| Fund balances at 31 March 2014 | 9 | £14,511 | £26,284 | £40,795 | £85,118 |

The notes on pages 17 to 21 form part of these accounts.

BALANCE SHEET

Fixed Assets Tangible assets

AS AT 31 MARCH 2014

| 2014 | | 20 | 13 |
|------|-------|----|----|
| | £ | £ | £ |
| | 4,360 | | 1 |

Company number: 6000240

Current Assets Debtors 6 62.070 Cash at bank and in hand 16,575 78.645 105.238 Creditors: falling due within one year 7 (42,210)(20, 121)Net Current Assets 36,435 85,117 Net Assets £40,795 £85.118 Represented by: Unrestricted funds 14.511 42.203 Restricted funds 26,284 42,915 £40.795 £85,118

£

Note

5

The directors consider that the company is entitled to exemption from the requirement to have an audit under the provisions of section 477 of the Companies Act 2006 ("the Act") and members have not required the company to obtain an audit for the period in question in accordance with section 476 of the Act.

The directors acknowledge their responsibilities for ensuring that the company keeps accounting records which comply with section 386 of the Act and for preparing financial statements which accord with the accounting records of the company as at 31 March 2014 and of its profit or loss for the period then ended in accordance with the requirements of sections 394 and 395 of the Act and which otherwise comply with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006 relating to the financial statements so far as applicable to the company.

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the special provisions relating to companies subject to the small companies regime within Part 15 of the Companies Act 2006 and in accordance with the Financial Reporting Standard for Smaller Entities (effective April 2008).

Approved by the Board of Trustees and authorised for issue on \mathcal{O}_{CC} \mathcal{L}_{CC} and signed on their behalf by:

Trustee

ERIC HOLDDNER

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2014

1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Preparation of financial statements

The financial statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention in accordance with the Financial Reporting Standard for Smaller Entities (effective April 2008). The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP), "Accounting and Reporting by Charities" published in March 2005, applicable accounting standards and the Companies Act 2006.

Having carefully considering the matters referred to above, the trustees believe that it is appropriate to prepare the financial statements on a going concern basis.

Company status

The Charity is a company limited by guarantee. In the event of the Charity being wound up, the liability in respect of the guarantee is limited to £1 per member of the Charity.

Incoming Resources

Grants donations and membership fees are recognised in full in the Statement of Financial Activities in the year in which they are receivable. This amount cover donations received from the general public, grants from member organisations and similar bodies and annual membership fees from the member organisations.

Resources Expended

Resources expended are recognised in the period in which they are incurred. Resources expended include attributable VAT which cannot be recovered.

Expenditure is classified under the charity's principle categories of activity. Staff costs and overhead expenses are allocated to activities on the basis of staff time spent on these activities.

Costs of generating funds

This consists of fundraising costs incurred in seeking voluntary contributions.

Governance costs

Governance costs are those costs incurred in connection with compliance with constitutional and statutory requirements.

Tangible fixed assets

Individual fixed assets costing £100 or more are capitalised at cost.

Tangible fixed assets are depreciated on a straight line basis over the estimated useful lives as follows:

Fixtures. Fittings and equipment – 3 years

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2014

1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Accumulated funds

Unrestricted funds are donations and other incoming resources receivable or generated for the objectives of the charity without further specified purpose and are available as general funds.

Designated funds are unrestricted funds earmarked by the trustees for particular purposes.

Restricted funds are to be used for specified purposes as laid down by the donor.

Expenditure that meet these criteria is identified to the fund, together with fair allocation of overheads and support costs.

| 2. | GRANTS | | Unrestricted £ | Restricted £ | Total 2014 £ | Total 2013 £ |
|----|--|--|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| | The grants received were as follows: USAID DGP | | | | | |
| | Keystone Accounta | | 139,654 | - | 139,654 | 45,583 |
| | Conrad Hilton Foun | | - | 37,780 | 37,780 | - |
| | SDC Grant for Grou | | - | 50,025 | 50,025 | 33,886 |
| | IKEA Grant for Gro Bill & Melinda Gate | | - - | - 85,448 | - 85,448 | 76,415 - |
| | | | £139,654 | £173,253 | £312,907 | £155,884 |
| 3. | TOTAL RESOURC | TOTAL RESOURCES EXPENDED | | | | |
| | | | Grants for maximising developmental | | | |
| | | Cost of | | | | |
| | | Cost of generating funds | impact of Social purpose organisations £ | Governance costs £ | Total 2014 £ | Total 2013 £ |
| | Staff costs | generating funds | impact of Social purpose organisations £ | costs | 2014 £ 206,043 | 2013 £ 149,200 |
| | Other costs | generating funds £ | impact of Social purpose organisations £ | costs £ 3,814 | 2014 £ 206,043 304,650 | 2013 £ 149,200 137,197 |
| | | generating funds £ | impact of Social purpose organisations £ | costs £ | 2014 £ 206,043 | 2013 £ 149,200 |
| | Other costs | generating funds £ | impact of Social purpose organisations £ | costs £ 3,814 | 2014 £ 206,043 304,650 | 2013 £ 149,200 137,197 |
| | Other costs Accountancy fees Total | generating funds £ 12,205 | impact of Social purpose organisations £ 190,024 304,650 | 3,814 4,795 | 2014 £ 206,043 304,650 4,795 | 2013 £ 149,200 137,197 4,795 |
| | Other costs Accountancy fees Total This is stated after of | generating funds £ 12,205 - £12,205 £need the second of | impact of Social purpose organisations £ 190,024 304,650 £494,674 | 3,814 4,795 | 2014 £ 206,043 304,650 4,795 | 2013 £ 149,200 137,197 4,795 |
| | Other costs Accountancy fees Total | generating funds £ 12,205 £12,205 charging: gible fixed asse | impact of Social purpose organisations £ 190,024 304,650 £494,674 | 3,814 4,795 | 2014 £ 206,043 304,650 4,795 £515,488 | 2013 £ 149,200 137,197 4,795 ———————————————————————————————————— |

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2014

| 4. | FURNITURE, FITTINGS & EQUIPMENT | | 2014 £ |
|----|--|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | Cost At 1 April 2013 Additions Disposals | | 9,308 5,205 (490) |
| | At 31 March 2014 | | 14,023 |
| | Depreciation At 1 April 2013 Charge for year Disposals | | 9,307 356 |
| | At 31 March 2014 | | 9,663 |
| | Net Book Value At 31 March 2014 | | £4,360 |
| | At 31 March 2013 | | £1 |
| 5. | EMPLOYEES Average monthly number of employees during the year: | 2014 No. | 2013 No. |
| | Average monthly number of employees during the year: Developmental impact Administration | 2 - | 2 |
| | | 2 | 2 |
| | Employee costs | £ | £ |
| | Salaries Social security costs | 182,913 23,130 | 133,811 15,388 |
| | | £206,043 | £149,199 |
| | The number of higher paid employees was: In the bank £100,000 to £110,000 In the bank £130,001 to £140,000 | | 1 - |

None of the trustees received any remuneration or benefits in kind during the year.

No trustees received any reimbursement of expenses during the year.

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2014

| 6. | DEBTORS | | | 2014 £ | 2013 £ |
|----|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Loan, salary and expenses advan Other debtors | ces | | 56,648 5,422 | 48,648 8,913 |
| | | | | £62,070 | £57,561 |
| 7. | CREDITORS | | | 2014 £ | 2013 £ |
| | Trade creditors Accruals Other creditors | | | 4,500 23,775 13,935 | 10,333 8,031 1,757 |
| | | | | £42,210 | £20,121 |
| 8. | MOVEMENT IN FUNDS | At 1 April 2013 £ | Incoming Resources £ | Outgoing Resources £ | At 31 March 2014 £ |
| | Restricted funds | | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| | Conrad Hilton Foundation | _ | 37,780 | (28,789) | 8,991 |
| | Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation | - | 85,448 | (85,448) | , - |
| | SDC grant for Ground Truth | - | 50,025 | (32,732) | 17,293 |
| | IKEA grant for Ground Truth | 42,914 | - | (42,914) | - |
| | Unrestricted funds | 42,203 | 297,913 | (325,605) | 14,511 |
| | Total funds | £85,117 | £471,166 | £515,488 | £40,795 |

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31 MARCH 2014

9. ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS BETWEEN FUNDS

| Restricted Funds 2014 £ | Unrestricted Funds 2014 £ | Total Funds 2014 £ | Total Funds 2013 £ |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| - | 4,360 | 4,360 | 1 |
| 26,284 | 52,361 | 78,645 | 105,238 |
| - | (42,210) | (42,210) | (20,121) |
| | | | |
| £26,284 | £14,511 | £40,795 | £85,118 |
| | Funds 2014 £ | Funds 2014 2014 £ £ | Funds 2014 2014 2014 £ £ £ - 4,360 4,360 26,284 52,361 78,645 - (42,210) (42,210) |

10. OPERATING LEASES

There are no commitments under operating leases

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Keystone Accountability Service Community Interest Company: Keystone Accountability has set up a subsidiary company in the UK under the form of a Community Interest - Keystone's fee-based service provision to commercial groups. For the moment, due to the relatively limited activity in this area, the CIC remains dormant and therefore consolidated accounts have not been prepared.

Keystone Accountability US: A non-profit 501(c)(3) organisation incorporated in 2007 to promote aims similar to those of Keystone Accountability UK. It provided unrestricted grant funding £139,654 to Keystone Accountability UK in FY2013/14. The largest source of Keystone Accountability USA support for Keystone Accountability UK was from the CS Mott Foundation.

Keystone Accountability South Africa: A Company incorporated under Section 21 of the South African Companies Act (Company not having share capital) manages Keystone's activities in South Africa and other African countries.

There were no transactions with these entities apart from the grants from Keystone Accountability USA.